THE EFFECTS OF CaCI, ON LIMESTONE SULFATION IN FLUIDIZED-BED COAL COMBUSTION

J. SHEARER, I. JOHNSON and C. TURNER

Chemical Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439 $(USA.)$

(Received 23 April 1979)

ABSTRACT

In an investigation into the effects of CaCl₂ on the sulfation of limestones in a laboratory furnace simulating fluidized-bed coal combustion conditions, small additions of CaCl₂ (<1 mole%) to the limestone prior to calcination were found to increase the extent of sulfation by changing the limestone pore structure_ These changes were effected by trace amounts of liquid in the system in a synthetic SO_2/O_2 flue gas at 850° C. At much higher concentrations of $CaCl₂$, large amounts of a liquid phase are produced, containing a substantial quantity of dissolved CaO and leading to greatly enhanced sulfation when exposed to $SO₂/O₂$. The use of CaCl₂ additive in fluidized-bed combustion would reduce the quantity of limestones required to meet air pollution standards for SO, and also reduce the quantity of solid waste generated.

INTRODUCTION

Recent interest in the use of alkali salts as additives for improving the efficiency of particulate limestone in removing $SO₂$ from flue gases in FBC has prompted a search for additives other than NaCl and other sodium-containing compounds [1]. There is concern that increased levels of sodium would contribute to hot corrosion problems.

In laboratory experiments, $CaCl₂$ was found to be as effective as NaCl in enhancing sulfur dioxide retention by limestone $[2]$. It has been routinely used as a freeze-proofing agent for coal storage piles [3]- The concentrations used for freeze-proofing are similar to the quantities of CaCl, found to be effective in this work. The lime industry has used $CaCl₂$ as well as many other additives to effect changes in physical properties and reactivities of calcined limes $[4-6]$. This is a report of an investigation of the effects of CaCI₂ on limestone sulfation and the mechanisms proposed to explain that interaction .

EXPERIMENTAL

Calcination and sulfation of a large number of naturally-occuring limestones were performed in controlled-atmosphere horizontal tube furnaces

with and without added CaCl₂. Reagent grade CaCl₂ was used throughout. The salt was mixed with the limestone particles as aqueous slurries which were subsequently evaporated to dryness. Water of hydration was found to have no marked effect on reactivity since it was lost at low temperatures before decomposition of the limestone began.

A 1-g sample of $18-20$ -mesh stone was placed in a monolayer in a shallow quartz boat which was put inside a furnace at 850 ° C. Synthetic flue gases flowing at 500 cm³ min⁻¹ were used. Calcination was in 5% O_2 , 20% CO_2 , 75% N, and sulfation was done sequentially in the same gas mixture with 0.3% SO, added. Calcination times were 1 h, and sulfation reactions were allowed to proceed for 6 h.

A mercury porosimeter was used to determine pore distributions in calcined stones and to allow the average pore diameter to be determined for each sample. Scanning electron microphotographs were also taken so that untreated and treated stones could be compared visually .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 is a series of scanning electron microphotographs of limestone ANL-9501 after calcination, some untreated and some treated with CaCl₂. It shows the increasing effects of larger amounts of CaCl,, with lime particles eventually fusing as more liquid phase is produced (Fig. 1). Figure 2 presents magnified views of limestone 9501, showing the fusion of particles that occurs at high concentrations of $CaCl₂$.

Figure 3 is a plot of average pore diameter vs . conversion of CaO to CaSO₄ for untreated limestones, limestones treated with 0.1 mole% CaCl₂, and limestones treated with 0.5 mole% $CaCl₂$. The distribution of data points has two areas representing increased sulfation. The first occurs near $0.3 \mu m$ and reaches a maximum sulfation in the range of 50-60% conversion of the available CaO to $CaSO₄$. The second increase in sulfation begins at average pore diameters of $1 \mu m$ and above, with conversions to sulfate continually increasing; apparently, conversion would eventually reach 100% if quantities of salt were larger or reaction time longer, as indicated by data (not included here) for pore diameters up to $5 \mu m$.

The first effect of $CaCl₂$ at very low $CaCl₂$ concentrations is similar to that observed for NaCl additions [7] in which an increase in average pore diameter is caused by enhanced mobility of system constituents . Trace amounts of localized liquid phase catalyze the decomposition of CaCO, and the recrystallization of CaO. Large pores grow at the expense of small pores, and there is continuous growth of CaO crystallites . When sulfation is begun, this new pore structure is permeable to $SO₂/O₂$ mixtures, allowing more CaSO, to form; eventually an impervious layer forms to seal off residual CaO from further reaction. Figure 4 shows the relationship of the extent of conversion to sulfate and surface area (calculated from mercury porosimetry data) for many limestones. At this low concentration of salt $(0.1 \text{ mole} \%)$ a maximum near 50% conversion is possible for all stones considered regardless of the original surface area of the calcined stones . At concentrations of

Fig. 1 . Cross-sections of limestone ANL-9501 particles after calcination at 850°C in 5% O_2 , 20% CO_2 , balance N₂. (a) 9501 calcined for 2 h (x700); (b) 9501 calcined for 6 h (x700); (c) 9501 + 0.1 mole% CaCl₂ calcined for 1 h (x700); (d) 9501 + 0.5 mole% CaCl₂ calcined for 1 h (\times 650); (e) 9501 + 1.0 mole% CaCl₂ calcined for 1 h (\times 675).

Fig. 2. Limestone ANL-9501 with CaCl₂ calcined 1 h at 850°C in 50% O₂, 20% CO₂, balance N₂. (a) 9501 + 1.0 mole% CaCl₂ (X520); (b) 9501 + 2.0% CaCl₂, junction of two particles (X520); (c) 9501 + 2.0% CaCl₂, junction of two particles (X1920).

Fig. 3. Conversion to sulfate vs. average pore diameter for limestones treated with $CaCl₂$ at 850°C and sulfated for 6 h in 0.3% SO_2 , 5% O_2 , 20% CO_2 , balance N₂.

CaCl₂ above 0.1 mole%, no relation of conversion to surface is found due to disruption of the crystal structure by dissolution.

Comparing the behavior of CaCl, and NaCl, the second increase in sulfation observed with increasing CaCl₂ levels is not observed with NaCl [7]. The phase diagram for CaO-CaCl₂ shows that a large amount of CaO is soluble in $CaCl₂$ and produces a very low-melting system. It is postulated here that the second increase in sulfation is due to the production of major amounts of liquid phase able to dissolve CaO, which would then be a more readily sulfatable species. Precipitation of the CaSO₄ formed would allow more CaO to dissolve; thus, sulfation could proceed indefinitely as long as there is enough CaCl, to produce a liquid phase.

Fig. 4. 6-h conversion to sulfate with 0.3% SO₂ (6 h) vs. surface area of calcines for pores ≥ 0.3 µm in limestone calcined at 850°C 1 h in 5% O₁, 20% CO₂, balance N₂.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Calcium chloride, when used as an additive in fluidized-bed coal combustion, promotes the capture of $SO₂/O₂$ by both limestones and dolomites. At low concentrations of $CaCl₂$, a trace amount of liquid is present which essentially catalyzes sintering, producing larger pores and large crystallites . The larger pores are more capable of accepting large $CaSO₄$ molecules without being blocked off. At higher levels of salt addition, the effect of a decrease in grain surface area begins to predominate over improved gaseous permeability and the reactivity of the stone decreases. At still larger CaCl, concentrations ≥ 2 mole%) large quantities of CaO can be dissolved in the CaCl, creating a very low-melting system containing large amounts of liquid phase. This facilitates reaction of dissolved CaO with gaseous $SO₂/O₂$. Even larger salt additions allow eventual sulfation of all of the available CaO . The amount of salt necessary to produce these effects varies with the original concentrations of impurities in the limestone and dolomites.

The effectiveness of CaCl, as an additive for increasing the capture of $SO₂$ by limestones, coupled with the fact that it is less corrosive than NaCl [8], argues for its use in fluidized-bed coal combustion . Study of porosity and laboratory reactivity data should enable boiler operators to determine empirically the range of concentrations which will maximize sulfur dioxide capture for specific limestone. By increasing the sulfation capacity of the limestones, the use of CaCl, as an additive can reduce the quantity of limestone required to meet $SO₂$ air pollution standards and reduce the amount of solid waste generated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency . We would like to thank A.A. Jonke, D. Webster, and L. Burris of the Chemical Engineering Division at Argonne National Laboratory for support and helpful discussions. We would also like to acknowledge the assistance and instruction with the scanning electron microscope of G.T. Chubb of the Biological Medical Research Division at Argonne National Laboratory .

REFERENCES

- 1 Pope, Evans and Robbins, Inc., Optimization of Limestone Utilization and Sulfur Capture in a Single Combustion Zone Fluidized-Bed Boiler, Final Report to the Office of Coal Research, 20 March 1974 .
- 2 I. Johnson et al., Support Studies in Fluidized-Bed Combustion, Argonne National Laboratory Report ANL/CEN/FE-78-4, April-June 1978.
- 3 Steam Its Generation and Use, Babcock and Wilcox Co., New York, 1972.
- 4 R.S. Boynton, Chemistry and Technology of Lime and Limestone, Wiley, New York, 1966, Chap. 7.
- 5 H .T. Briscoe and F .C. Mathers, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 19 (1927) 88 .
- 6 T. Noda, J. Soc. Chem. Ind. Jpn. Suppl., 42 (1939) 265.
- 7 J.A. Shearer, I. Johnson and C.B. Turner, The Effect of Sodium Chloride on the Reaction of SO₂/O₂ Mixtures with Limestones and Dolomites, Argonne National Laboratory Report ANL/CEN/FE-78-8, June 1978 .
- 8 P.A. Alexander, in H.R. Johnson (Ed.), The Mechanism of Corrosion by Fuel Impurities, Butterworths, London, 1963, p. 571.